

# ASEAN QUALITY ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK

# LIST OF CONTENTS

Gloss	sary	Ш
Abbr	eviations	iv
Chap	oter 1	
1.1.	Introduction	1
1.2.	Development of ASEAN Quality Assurance Network	1
1.3.	Legal Status and Membership	3
1.4.	Development of ASEAN Quality Assurance Framework	4
1.5.	Other Related Regional Developments	6
Chap	oter 2	
2.1.	Purposes	7
2.2.	Principles Statements	7
	External Quality Assurance Agency	
	External Quality Assurance Standards and Processes	
	Institutional Quality Assurance	
	National Qualifications Framework	
Chap	oter 3	
3.1.	Implementation	13
	Referencing	
Δnne	endix – Interpretation and Guidance Notes	16
, where	main metapretation and database Notes	TΩ

## **Internal Continuous Improvement System**

A system established and carried out by the higher education institutions to improve and enhance the quality of its programmes and institutions.

#### **Self-assessment Report**

A report prepared by the higher education institutions for the purpose of quality audit that indicates whether the higher education institutions have achieved the quality standards required.

## **Reviewers/ Assessors**

A group of experts on the quality assurance of higher education carry out quality audits towards the higher education institutions. They are well-versed of the standards, policies and good practices of the respective areas of qualifications.

#### **Institutional Autonomy**

A sufficient autonomy given to the higher education institutions in terms of curriculum development and implementation, resource allocation, supervision of student, academically-related administrative duties, scholarly activities and any related academic or administration matters as well as adherence to the prescribed quality standards and policies.

# **ABBREVIATION**

1)	ACC	Accreditation Committee of Cambodia, Cambodia			
2)	AMS	ASEAN Member State			
3)	AQAF	ASEAN Quality Assurance Framework			
4)	AQAN	ASEAN Quality Assurance Network			
5)	ATAC	AQAN Advisory Technical Committee			
6)	AUN	ASEAN University Network			
7)	BDNAC	Brunei Darussalam National Accreditation Council, Brunei			
8)	CHED	Commission on Higher Education, Philippines			
9)	EQA	External Quality Assurance			
10)	EQAA	External Quality Assurance Agencies			
11)	FAA	Finance Accreditation Agency, Malaysia			
12)	GDETA	General Department of Education Testing and Accreditation, Vietnam			
13)	IQA	Internal Quality Assurance			
14)	MQA	Malaysian Qualifications Agency, Malaysia			
15)	NAAAA	National Agency for Academic Assessment and Accreditation, Timor Leste			
16)	NAAHE	National Accreditation Agency for Higher Education, Indonesia			
17)	NQF	National Qualifications Framework			
18)	OHEC	Office of the Higher Education Commission, Thailand			
19)	ONESQA	Office for National Education Standards and Quality Assessment, Thailand			

20)	PAASCU	Philippines Accrediting Association of Schools, Colleges and Universities, Philippines				
21)	PDCA	Plan-Do-Check-Act				
22)	QA	Quality Assurance				
23)	QF	Qualifications Framework				
24)	SAR	Self-Assessment Report				
25)	SEAMEO	Southeast Asian Ministers of Education Organization				
26)	SEAMEO RETRAC	Southeast Asian Ministers of Education Organization Regional Training Center				
27)	SEAMEO RIHED	Southeast Asian Ministers of Education Organization Regional Centre for Higher Education and Development				

#### 1.1. Introduction

The Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) was formally established by the Bangkok Declaration in 1967 with the agreement of six countries and later was joined by four others. With a current population of 625 million, the ASEAN 2020 aspires to be a stable, prosperous and highly competitive ASEAN economic region in which there is free flow of goods, services, investment and skilled workers towards equitable economic development as well as reduced poverty, social and economic disparity. The integration plans have been outlined in the 3 pillars – ASEAN Political-Security Community, ASEAN Economic Community and ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community. The post-2015 plans will provide deeper integration to continue building the ASEAN Community by 2020.

Human development through education and training is a predominant factor in ASEAN on addressing ASEAN agenda for all member states towards a single community. Thus, building and enhancing quality of education and training must be addressed adequately. The 5<sup>th</sup> Meeting of Directors General, Secretary General, Commissioners of Higher Education in Southeast Asia provided for the development of a concept and concrete steps to promote sustainable development of higher education through the establishment of the ASEAN Framework and Roadmap for Sustainability Education 2011-2015.

#### 1.2. Development of the ASEAN Quality Assurance Network

Since mid-2007, the Southeast Asian Ministers of Education Organization-Regional Institute of Higher Education and Development (SEAMEO-RIHED) has started to be the key promoter to raise the awareness among policy decision makers and academics on the importance of the process of harmonization in higher education in Southeast Asia. "The Proposal on the Structured Framework for Regional Integration in Higher

Education in SEA: the Road towards a Common Space" was submitted to the 30<sup>th</sup> High Officials Meeting, the 2<sup>nd</sup> Director Generals/Secretary General and Commissioner responsible for higher education meeting. The proposal was finally endorsed by the Ministers of Education during the SEAMEO Council Meeting in March 2008.

For the purpose of harmonization and creating a higher education common space, the Roadmap identifies the needs for a regional quality assurance framework, capacity building of all stakeholders through cooperation; student mobility; a regional credit system; and promotion of benefits of quality assurance amongst others.

In the same year, the Kuala Lumpur Declaration led to the establishment of ASEAN Quality Assurance Network (AQAN) to share good practices of quality assurance in higher education, collaborate on capacity building and facilitate the recognition of qualifications and cross-border mobility throughout the region and to develop a regional quality assurance framework for Southeast Asia.

AQAN is a network of 10 national quality assurance authorities and ministries responsible for higher education among the ASEAN member countries, namely, Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, Indonesia, Lao PDR, Malaysia, Myanmar, Philippines, Singapore, Thailand and Vietnam.

On July 8, 2008, the officials of these quality assurance authorities and ministries adopted the Kuala Lumpur Declaration on the establishment of the AQAN.

The Kuala Lumpur declaration reads:

"Realizing the active movement towards the development of an ASEAN Economic Community;

**Conscious** of the benefits of collaboration and sharing, and the crucial role of quality assurance in promoting harmonization in higher education;

**Mindful** of the diversity and the different stages of the development of quality assurance systems and agencies at national levels;

**Acknowledging** the mutual interests and common concerns amongst them;

**Affirming** the need for closer relationship between people in the region through mobility of students, faculty and programs;

**Desiring** to complement the role of regional and international quality assurance networks;"

## 1.3. Legal status and Membership

AQAN is a registered international association network under the Societies Act 1966, Malaysia. It was formally registered on 25 July, 2014. The AQAN Secretariat is currently based at the Malaysian Qualifications Agency. AQAN was accredited to be as an entity associated with ASEAN on 1 August 2016.

AQAN has two categories of membership. Full members are the national quality assurance agencies or authorities responsible for quality assurance of higher education or any other organisations as determined by the Roundtable meeting from time to time. While the associate members are other organisations in Southeast Asian countries with a major interest and active involvement in external quality assurance and quality promotion in higher education as determined by the Roundtable.

The following are the list of AQAN members as of December 2015:

## **Full Members:**

- 1. Brunei Darussalam National Accreditation Council (BDNAC), Brunei
- 2. Accreditation Committee of Cambodia (ACC), Cambodia
- 3. National Accreditation Agency for Higher Education (NAAHE), Indonesia
- 4. Department of Higher Education, Ministry of Education, Lao P.D.R.

- 5. Malaysian Qualifications Agency (MQA), Malaysia
- 6. Department of Higher Education, Ministry of Education, Upper Myanmar
- 7. Commission on Higher Education (CHED), Philippines
- 8. Higher Education Division, Ministry of Education, Singapore
- 9. Office for National Education Standards and Quality Assessment (ONESQA), Thailand
- 10. General Department of Education Testing and Accreditation, Ministry of Education and Training (GDETA), Vietnam

#### **Associate Members:**

- National Agency for Academic Assessment and Accreditation, Ministry of Education (NAAAA), Timor Leste
- 2. ASEAN University Network (AUN)
- 3. Southeast Asian Ministries of Education Organization Regional Institute of Higher Education and Development (SEAMEO-RIHED)
- 4. Southeast Asian Ministers of Education Organization Regional Training Center (SEAMEO-RETRAC)
- 5. Office of the Higher Education Commission (OHEC), Thailand
- Philippine Accrediting Association of Schools, Colleges and Universities (PAASCU),
   Philippines
- 7. Finance Accreditation Agency (FAA), Malaysia

## 1.4. Development of ASEAN Quality Assurance Framework (AQAF)

In October 2011, the AQAN Roundtable Meeting in Bandar Seri Begawan decided to embark on a project to develop an ASEAN Quality Assurance Framework for Higher Education (AQAFHE) for Southeast Asian region. A task force was established with representation of officials from the MQA, SEAMEO RIHED, PAASCU, ONESQA, GDETA, AUN and BDNAC to undertake the project.

The purpose of AQAFHE is to promote regional harmonization in higher education by developing a quality assurance framework in higher education with regional identities where the ASEAN countries could benchmark and align their quality assurance systems

of higher education. Its development will also facilitate regional recognition of degrees and qualifications. AQAFHE will serve as a common reference point for quality assurance agencies and higher education institutions as they strive towards harmonization amidst the diversity of higher education systems, cultures and traditions within the region.

In the 2013 AQAN Round Table Meeting in Hanoi, AQAFHE was approved. However, in the following year AQAFHE was shortened to ASEAN Quality Assurance Framework (AQAF) to make it more inclusive.

The Framework consists of four sets of interrelated principles, namely:

- 1. External Quality Assurance Agencies (EQAA)
- 2. External Quality Assurance (EQA) Standards and Processes
- 3. Institutional Quality Assurance (IQA)
- 4. National Qualifications Framework (NQF)

Each principle focuses on core statements. The Framework will serve as a common reference point for quality assurance agencies and higher education institutions as they strive towards harmonization amidst the diversity of higher education systems, cultures and traditions within the region. The Framework uses generic principles and statements of good practice. It is not prescriptive. Its purpose is to promote good practices for internal and external quality assurance as well as the establishment and implementation of national qualifications frameworks in the ASEAN Member States (AMS).

It seeks to provide a common ground and understanding of quality assurance within ASEAN countries. Its generic principles will find resonance on the national level. Consistency of quality assurance practices across Southeast Asia will be improved through the use of these principles.

Procedures for the recognition of qualifications will also be strengthened and credibility of the work of quality assurance agencies will be enhanced. This framework

will support the mobility within ASEAN community. Mutual trust and understanding among institutions and quality assurance agencies will grow and mutual recognition of accrediting decisions will be fast-tracked.

#### 1.5. Other Related Regional Developments

The ASEAN Qualifications Reference Framework (AQRF) is a common reference framework that serves as a device to enable comparisons of qualifications across AMS. It addresses education and training sectors and the wider objective of promoting lifelong learning. Notably, the AQRF was developed through the Project on Education and Training Governance: Capacity Building for National Qualifications Frameworks (AANZ-0007) which was part of the AANZFTA Economic Cooperation Work Programme (ECWP) with a task force consisting of ASEAN representatives.

Other than enabling comparisons of qualifications across member states, the purposes of AQRF are to encourage the development of qualifications frameworks that can facilitate lifelong learning, encourage the development of national approaches to validating learning gained outside formal education, promote and encourage education and learner mobility, promote worker mobility, lead to better understood qualifications systems and promote higher quality qualifications systems.

A key requirement for the effective function of the Framework is a robust and comparable quality assurance system in the AMS. It is intended that AQAF, an indigenously developed framework for quality assurance will be able to function as a reference point to each national system.

Apart from building zone of trust for the purpose of facilitating recognition of qualifications within the ASEAN region and without, it is also instrumental to accelerate the harmonization of higher education within ASEAN and to address the ASEAN integration agenda.

#### 2.1. Purposes of ASEAN Quality Assurance Framework

In dealing with these principles and statements, the following are to be considered:

- The primary purpose of the Framework is to enhance the quality of education in the ASEAN region and to support the mobility of students, workers and professionals, both within and outside the region.
- Each educational system has evolved in a specific context and is influenced by cultural and historical factors. These Principles can be adapted in various political, legal, and cultural settings without compromising a country's basic values and traditions.
- The Framework enables quality assurance agencies and higher education institutions in each country to improve themselves and to align with others across the region.

#### 2.2. Principle Statements of ASEAN Quality Assurance Framework

## 1. Principles of External Quality Assurance Agency (EQAA)

The EQAA is a key player in maintaining and sustaining the quality of education in every nation and puts at center stage the interests of students and various stakeholders. The following statements are the important first steps towards the establishment of a shared set of values and good practices for EQAA across the region to ensure that professionalism, accountability and integrity of the agencies are visible and transparent to their stakeholders.

- 1.1. The EQAA in the ASEAN countries have mission and common goals statements.
- 1.2. The EQAA has an established legal basis and is formally recognized and trusted by competent public authorities in its home country.
- 1.3. The EQAA has autonomous responsibility for its operations and its decision making processes and judgments are free from undue influences.
- 1.4. The EQAA has a standard and transparent system for appointing members of the Board.
- 1.5. The EQAA's policies and management practices are based on good governance, transparency and accountability.
- 1.6. The EQAA keeps abreast with new developments and innovations in quality assurance as part of its internal continuous improvement system.
- 1.7. The EQAA has sufficient and adequate resources.

- 1.8. The EQAA collaborates with key stakeholders, both nationally and internationally.
- 1.9. The EQAA has a reliable system for controlling, auditing and assessing all processes of its operations.
- 1.10. The EQAA keeps the public informed of its current policies, procedures, criteria, standards and assessment results.

#### Examples of sources of evidence:

- Statement of mission and goals
- Legislation or legal frameworks covering the EQAA
- Manual of Operations indicating processes and procedures
- Policy Statements, e.g., conflict of interest issues
- Audited Financial Statements
- Address of website and types of information provided
- Development or Strategic Plan of EQAA

#### 2. Principles of External Quality Assurance - Standards and Processes

External quality assurance processes serve as the core activity of the quality assurance agency. In these processes and activities, the interests of students, employers and the society are taken into consideration. The following statements further demonstrate the systematic approach embarked by quality assurance agencies towards the development of agency standards and criteria, thereby achieving their goals and objectives.

- 2.1. Interest of students and the society should be at the forefront of external quality assurance processes.
- 2.2. Standards must be comparable to international good practices and related to internal quality assurance of higher education institutions.
- 2.3. Development of standards must involve participation of relevant stakeholders to meeting the current needs and demands.
- 2.4. Standards must be made publicly available and applied consistently and with due regard for cultural diversity.
- 2.5. The process normally includes a self-assessment report (SAR) of the programme or institution, site visits, feedback, formal decisions and follow up procedures.
- 2.6. Assessment must be objective, fair, transparent and conducted within an appropriate time frame.
- 2.7. The EQA provides appropriate training at regular interval for the development of assessors.
- 2.8. The EQA ensures professionalism and ethical conduct of assessors.

- 2.9. Quality assurance activities and processes are assessed on a cyclical basis to promote continuous improvement.
- 2.10. An appeal mechanism is established and accessible to all.

## Examples of Supporting Evidence:

- Policy statements and practices on student protection and social interests.
   Document or record providing manifestation of the protection of student and social interests.
- Make available quality assurance codes/manual and standards, guidelines, or instrument for evaluation
- Evidence of benchmarking and referencing with international standards and good practices.
- Evidence of dialogues and communication with stakeholders.
- Availability of social media for effective communication and dissemination with the public.
- Evaluation and review of self-assessment report of institutions by the agency.
- Evidence of selection policies, duties, responsibilities and development of staff and assessors i.e. training requirements.
- Code of conduct and ethics for reviewers
- Description of cyclical validity and follow up measures.
- Policy and procedure for appeals.

#### 3. Principles of Institutional Quality Assurance

A fundamental principle in quality assurance of higher education is that quality primarily rests with the higher education institutions themselves. The statements which follow define the role of the higher education institutions in developing, sustaining, enhancing and assuring quality of higher education to their stakeholders. The statements provide guidelines on the quality assurance processes systems through which higher education institutions demonstrate their accountability and safeguard the interests of their stakeholders including students and society.

- 3.1. The institution has a primary responsibility for quality.
- 3.2. Quality assurance promotes the balance between institutional autonomy and public accountability.
- 3.3. Quality assurance is a participatory and cooperative process across all levels incorporating involvement of academic staff, students, and other stakeholders.
- 3.4. A quality culture underpins all institutional activities including teaching, learning, research, services and management.

- 3.5. A structured and functional internal quality assurance system with clearly defined responsibilities is established.
- 3.6. The quality system is promulgated and supported by the top management to ensure effective implementation and sustainability.
- 3.7. Sufficient resources for establishing and maintaining an effective quality system within the institution should be provided.
- 3.8. The institution should have formal mechanisms for approval, periodic review and monitoring of programmes and awards.
- 3.9. Quality is regularly monitored and reviewed for purposes of continuous improvement at all levels.
- 3.10. Relevant and current information about the institution, its programmes, achievements, and quality processes is accessible to public.

#### Examples of Supporting Evidence:

- Statement of vision and mission of the institution;
- Documented policies and strategic action plans;
- Organizational and management structure;
- Resources for teaching, research and services;
- Information of the institution made available to the public;
- Adequacy of facilities and infrastructure to support teaching, research and services;
- Establishment of quality assurance unit and resources;
- Internal quality assurance systems [eg. curriculum development / improvement procedures, evidence of programmes specifications];
- Documented information of responsibilities of faculties, schools, departments and other organizational units and individuals in quality assurance;
- Feedback from stakeholders;
- Report of internal quality assurance activities including reviews and improvement of internal quality assurance system of the institutions.

## 4. Principles of National Qualifications Framework

NQFs have turned into key instruments for the reform of education and training, and qualification systems in many ASEAN countries and beyond. The Framework may be a unified and comprehensive or linked and sectoral based. The emphasis on learning outcomes is to ensure that the learning takes place effectively through variety of strategies amongst others-teaching and learning, research, and other activities.

Increasingly, lifelong learning policies have been embedded into the NQF-primarily by addressing the flexibility in the educational pathways, recognizing informal and non-

formal learning within the national systems. It also addresses the barriers to access and progression in learning.

NQF is expected to facilitate the mobility of students, workers and professionals across the region and beyond. AMS are encouraged to align their NQF to regional frameworks as well as international good practices.

- 4.1. NQF facilitates the progressive nature of learning and training with the inclusion of recognition of prior learning.
- 4.2. NQF supports student and workforce mobility through recognition of qualifications, including lifelong learning.
- 4.3. NQF is based on learning outcomes that emphasize student-centered learning and student competencies.
- 4.4. NQF supports consistency, transparency and flexibility of learning pathways and progression.
- 4.5. NQF is generally defined by levels, descriptors and can be based on a credit system.
- 4.6. NQF must be supported by relevant national policies.
- 4.7. Stakeholders must be consulted and actively involved in the development and implementation of the NQF.
- 4.8. The implementation of the NQF is to be carried out by an authorized body and supported by a set of agreed quality assurance principles and standards.
- 4.9. NQF is dynamic and should be reviewed to meet the changing needs and developments.
- 4.10 NQF should be complemented by an authorized information center.

## Examples of sources of evidence:

- NQF Planning reports
- Document on the Qualifications Framework
- Supporting laws and regulations
- Information on the responsible authorities
- Policies relating to the Framework

- Guidelines associated with the implementation
- The quality assurance system
- Notices for implementation
- Information centers such as a registry of accredited programmes
- Use of Diploma Supplement or other similar formal statements
- Consultation reports, reports on effectiveness of NQF

#### **CHAPTER 3**

The AQAF is an inspirational document developed indigenously, underpinning ASEAN values, expectations, and good practices for quality assurance, linking both EQA and IQA as well as qualifications framework. AQAF is intended to benefit all EQA bodies and institutions across ASEAN member countries. The approach overall is developmental oriented to address different need of EQAAs.

AQAN and its partners (SEAMEO RIHED and AUN) encourage its members to make use of AQAF as a tool for improvement and harmonization of the quality assurance system at the national level. The national EQA bodies should strive to align their QA and QF systems to AQAF in due course. It is a key function of AQAN, through its advisory role and capacity building activities, to assist its members to align their quality assurance and qualification system with the AQAF principles.

#### **AQAN TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

An AQAN Advisory Technical Committee (ATAC) will be appointed by the Executive Committee and shall serve for three years. The functions of the Committee are:

- to provide detailed guidelines to process application for referencing
- to facilitate and advise referencing
- to provide technical support / consultations
- to provide capacity building
- to monitor and to report AQAF related activities to the Executive Committee
- to review periodically (every 3 years) and make recommendations for improvements
   to AQAN

#### Composition of ATAC:

- technical experts in QA and / or QF (no more than 5 persons)
- holds senior position in EQAA bodies within ASEAN
- at least 10 years of experiences in QA and /or QF

#### **REFERENCING GUIDELINES**

#### A. REFERENCING PROCESS

- 1. The AQAN members initiating an AQAF self-referencing process will inform AQAN Executive Committee of its intention to conduct referencing to AQAF.
  - Note: A guideline for referencing and review of agency is being developed.
- 2. The member country is generally expected to prepare SAR to indicate the extent of alignment in terms of their establishment, QA systems and practices. It is advisable for them to use an internal audit panel to prepare the SAR.
- 3. The SAR is then submitted to the AQAN Technical Advisory Committee (ATAC) for their review and feedback. ATAC may conduct site visits to the EQAA for verifications of the SAR.
- 4. ATAC may do follow up visits.
- 5. ATAC submits its report to the AQAN Executive Committee for deliberation.

#### **B. SCOPE OF REFERENCING**

- Referencing or alignment of national quality assurance systems to regional frameworks generally include principles on establishment of EQA, QA policies, standards and processes of EQA as well as IQA components.
- 2. AQAF represents a comprehensive and wholesome quality assurance reference framework. It is composed of 4 sets of principles which include external quality assurance agency, external quality assurance standards and processes, institutional quality assurance and national qualifications framework; and in addition it must also incorporates principles relating to qualifications and the national qualifications framework.

3. EQAAs should endeavor to do referencing to AQAF as a whole. However, it is acceptable to reference with Principle 1, 2 and 3 especially in the absence of a NQF or where NQF is not the direct responsibilities of the EQAA. The effective QA systems require clear interplay or link between EQA and IQA in assuring quality of programmes and institutions.

#### INTERPRETATION AND GUIDANCE NOTES OF AQAF

As the name suggests, the purpose of the Interpretation and Guidance Notes is to assist users in understanding the scope of the principles in the Quadrants and to explain the meaning of some of the terms used.

The explanation and examples given in respect of a principle are not intended to be exhaustive. EQAAs may choose to assess their organisation and operations against these principles for their own specific purposes assisted by this Guidance Notes and develop their own check lists. Where an EQAA has applied for a formal review, it is expected expound the higher education landscape in which it provides its services, and provide some analysis and reflections on its strength and weakness in relation to the AQAF principles adding any current or future plans that it may have.

#### **Quadrant 1: The External Quality Assurance Agency**

This component explains the basic requirement for an appropriate and legally established external body mandated and dedicated to conduct quality assurance. The mission and goals of the EQAA must clearly indicate its mandate to provide quality assurance and to ensure the quality of higher education. However, if it is the case that EQAA's position is affected or constrained by national systems or any other factors, these must be explained. These Principles remain the key reference points for an appropriately established competent EQAA.

Quadrant 1: The External Quality		Guidance	Examples of
Assurance Agency (EQAA)		notes/interpretation	Evidences
1.1	The EQAA in the ASEAN	The mission and goals of this	<ul> <li>Published</li> </ul>
	countries have mission	body provides a clear	mission and
	and common	mandate regarding its roles	goals
	goals/statements	and responsibilities	Public reports of

		EQA's mission and goals are developed with, and approved by key stakeholders	•	EQAA Legal document which provide the mandate (if any)
1.2	The EQAA has an established legal basis and is formally recognized and trusted by competent public authorities in its home country.	Legal basis for the formation of EQAA provides the authority to set up and conduct external quality assurance activities. It may be a statutory body or unit of a ministry through an Act of Parliament, Cabinet decree, Presidential decree or its equivalent.  Competent public authorities (ministries, agencies, recognition bodies, employers), work with, rely on and recognize the decisions made by the EQAA.	•	Legislation or legal framework Governmental authority A unit in Ministry Decrees Policy papers
1.3	The EQAA has autonomous responsibility for its operations and its decision making processes and judgments are free from undue	It is important for the EQAA to show its independence and autonomy to develop its systems, procedures and methods. Its decision making process is free from outside influence and is entirely the	•	Legislation Government Policy statements Internal system, standards and decision making

	influences.	responsibility of the EQAA. In the ASEAN context, however, it is often difficult to be operationally and financially independent. It is imperative, however, that the accreditation/ evaluation decisions are made by the EQAA themselves.	•	procedures  Conflict of interest policies.
1.4	The EQAA has a standard and transparent system for appointing or electing members of the Board.	There are selection or election criteria that guide the EQAA in the appointment or election of its Board members. The appointment or election of Board members is announced to the public.  There should be a good and appropriate balance of stakeholders' representation in the Board.	•	Legislation & regulation Rules for Nomination and Election, if applicable Public announcement of members appointed or elected Information pertaining to Board members
1.5	The EQAA's policies and management practices are based on good governance, transparency and accountability.	The EQAA has management structures, e.g. the Board, Executive Committees, and appropriately qualified staff for all positions.	•	Manual for Operations Standard Operating Procedures Strategic Plans

		There are operational	•	Performance
		manuals/guidelines, QA		and Financial
		processes and procedures		Reports
		which are made available to		
		the public. These indicate		
		transparency.		
		Accountability to authorities		
		and stakeholders should be		
		indicated. Its financial		
		system and performance		
		should be transparent.		
1.6	The EQAA keeps abreast	The EQAA provides relevant	•	Publications
	with new developments	and effective policies and	•	Research output
	and innovations in quality	practices to keep up with the	•	Seminars/
	assurance as part of its	changes in higher education,		Conferences
	internal continuous	teaching and learning, online	•	Internal QA
	improvement system.	and technology and new		review system
		demands for improved	•	Monitoring
		services.		systems and
				reviews
		Research is being done and		
		feedbacks solicited.		
		Presentations are made in		
		various conferences. A		
		continuous quality		
		improvement process is		
		embedded in its		
		management system.		

1.7	The EQAA has sufficient	The resources must be	•	Information on
	and adequate resources.	sufficient to enable the		infrastructure
		EQAA to perform its		and IT facilities.
		functions, meet its goals in a	•	Statistics on
		timely manner and serve its		man power and
		stakeholders. The resources		qualifications.
		include appropriately	•	Recruitment
		qualified and sufficient		policy
		manpower and their	•	Training policies
		development, financial		and
		capacity to sustain its		programmes
		activities and future plans,	•	Planning system
		including physical and IT		
		infrastructure.		
1.8.	The EQAA collaborates	Collaboration at national	•	Periodic
	with key stakeholders,	levels with key stakeholders.		dialogues with
	both nationally and	e.g., students, institutions,		various
	internationally	employers, industry, and		stakeholders.
		professional bodies, enables	•	Existing
		better understanding and		Memorandum
		support, of quality education		of Cooperation
		and training and acceptance		or
		of the outcomes of QA work.		Understanding.
			•	Listing of
		At the international level,		activities,
		collaboration with QA		projects,
		partners enhances visibility,		internships and
		allows sharing of practices		sharing of
		and resources and supports		information
		recognition of QA decisions.		

				The state of the s
		QA knowledge and practices		
		are important in managing		
		Transnational Education and		
		Cross Border Higher		
		Education.		
1.9	The EQAA has a reliable	A good internal management	•	Documents on
	system for controlling,	system addresses annual		strategic
	auditing and assessing all	strategic planning, systemic		planning and
	processes of its	checks, performance		performance
	operations.	assessment, including		review
		outputs and outcomes .	•	Documents on
				internal
		Plan-do-check-act (PDCA)		management
		practices		system
			•	Audited
		EQAA are generally being		Financial
		audited financially by higher		Statements
		authorities through yearly	•	Yearly
		performance reviews.		performance
		'		review and
		Audits done by external QA		report
		bodies for separate	•	Customers
		certification and compliance		feedback
		with specific requirements.		
		The specific requirements.		
1.10	The EQAA keeps the	The website/portal is kept up	•	Regular
1.10	public informed of its	to date and accessible to the	•	communication
	current policies,	public.		through various
	procedures, criteria,	public.		means- website,
	standards and			
	Stailualus allu			circulars, emails,

assessment results.	Timely issuance of		press release
	circulars/notices,		and social media
	publications of QA	•	Dialogues
	documents, press release,	•	Campaign
	and regular dialogues.		

## **Quadrant 2: External Quality Assurance Standards and Processes**

The core function and activity of the EQAA is the conduct of quality assurance processes and the establishment of policies, standards, procedures and outcomes. Some EQAAs adopt their country's National Standards as minimum standards while others go beyond these standards. This quadrant focuses on how standards are developed, the role of stakeholders, key processes undertaken, transparency and fairness. The model being used reflects the national needs and aspirations.

Quadran	t 2: EQA Standards and	Guidance	Examples of
Processe	S	notes/interpretation	Evidences
2.1	Interest of the students	The purpose and goals of	• Mission,
	and society should be at	the EQAA is to benefit both	objectives and
	the forefront of external	students and society. The	goals
	quality assurance	declared purpose of QA	Policy intent
	processes.	therefore should be	statements
		supported by QA	Student focus
		frameworks and processes	and protection
		that provide the means to	scheme
		benefit both parties.	
2.2	Standards must be	Development and selection	Procedure for
	comparable to	of standards involve	developing
	international good	stakeholders, experts and	standards.
	practices and related to	are benchmarked or	Dialogues or
	internal quality assurance	referenced with	consultation
	of higher education	international best practices.	<ul><li>workshops.</li></ul>
	institutions.		Involvement of
		It must be fit for purpose.	Industry
		The Institutional IQA	<ul> <li>International</li> </ul>
		requirement is part of the	advisors

		EQA standards whether for	•	Guidelines for
		programme-based or		institutional
		· -		
		institutional- based		assessment
		approach.		
2.3	Development of standards	The standards must be	•	Document/recor
	must involve participation	relevant to local context,		ds of periodic
	of relevant stakeholders	national priorities and		dialogues and
	to meet the current needs	capacities and the changing		consultation
	and demands.	demands affecting higher		and/or
		education. How do the	•	Involvement of
		quality standards address		stakeholders
		this demand?		such as the
				employers and
				industry, expert
				groups and
				institutions
			•	Feedback
				through social
				media
			•	Open
				consultation
				papers
2.4	Standards must be made	The EQA standards must be	•	Upload in
	publicly available and	properly developed,		EQAAs' portals,
	applied consistently and	approved and well		issue timely
	with due regard for	disseminated.		circulars/notices
	cultural diversity.	a.ssemmateur	•	Set quality
	Sales at alverdicy.	Interpretation must be fit	-	assurance
		·		framework,
		for purpose and consider its		Hamework,

		context	•	evaluation instrument, assessors training and monitoring, Assessment report feedback
2.5	The process normally includes a self-assessment report (SAR) of the programme or institution, site visit, feedback, formal decision, and follow-up procedures.	Outline the institutional process to produce a SAR in your country. This procedure is an accepted core process in QA practices — applicable for both programme and institutional accreditation.  This requires institutions to have an internal system for quality assurance and conduct a proper audit to generate data and prepare a Report.	•	Manuals for Institutional and/or programme accreditation — SAR Documents pertaining to Institutional IQA system Sampling of Institution or programme being subjected to the process Minutes of decision Documentary evidence of follow-up/post visit reports

2.6	Assessment must be	EQAA must have	•	Manual/ Code
	objective, fair, and	assessment standards and		of Practice for
	transparent and	procedures which have		Institutional or
	conducted within an	been well disseminated.		Programme
	appropriate time frame.	Assessment reports or		Assessments.
		templates are conveyed to	•	Documents or
		institutions for feedback.		sampling of
		Assessment should be		process.
		carried out within a	•	Records of
		reasonable timeline.		feedback from
				interviews for
				institutions and
				other related
				parties
			•	Periodic review
				and analysis of
				assessment
				reports.
2.7	The EQAA provides	Assessors are seen to be the	•	Selection
	appropriate training at	backbone of QA systems.		criteria and
	regular interval for	Normally they are external		practice
	development of assessors.	peer experts and trained by	•	Up to date
		the EQAA. The EQAA has		training
		specific criteria and training		modules
		activities to oversee the		
		quality of the reports and		
		performance of its		
		assessors.		

2.8	The EQAA ensures	Training should include	•	Feedback
2.0	professionalism and	_	Ĭ	mechanism
	•	professionalism and ethical		
	ethical conduct of	matters. It may be useful to		from institutions
	assessors.	have a system to check and		and assessors
		act on grievance issues and	•	Code of Ethics
		complaints.	•	Complaint
				procedures and
				responses
2.9	Quality assurance	The EQAA should have a	•	Annual
	activities and processes	policy on the review of its		Performance
	are assessed on a cyclical	QA standards and systems		Report
	basis to promote	to keep its approach	•	Reports on
	continuous improvement.	relevant and effective.		reviews,
		There may be fixed cyclical		findings and
		reviews on issues arising		actions taken
		from time to time.	•	Workshops
		Feedback from stakeholders	•	Feedback form
		serve as an important basis		stakeholders
		for reviews		
		Torreviews		
2.10	An appeal mechanism is	FOAA should provide		Document on
2.10	established and	EQAA should provide	•	
		appropriate appeal		appeals system
	accessible to all.	mechanisms, policies and		and procedures
		procedures which are	•	Records of
		disseminated publicly.		appeal and
				outcomes
		There are protocols to		
		follow for appeals		
		pertaining to decisions		
		made by the EQAA.		
		1		

## **Quadrant 3: Internal Quality Assurance (Institutions)**

The Internal Quality Assurance (IQA) system is important for continuous quality improvement and effective performance of institutions. It has almost become a mandatory standard in many EQAAs' quality assurance standards. It reflects the close linkage between external quality assurance and internal quality assurance of institutions. Quadrant 3 requires institutions to develop their own policies and internal quality assurance systems and processes for accountability, transparency and achievement/improvements.

The stage of development of IQA systems in AMS vary from one country to another and therefore the Principles expressed here should be used as guides to develop their systems. For those with existing IQAs, this section can be used as a benchmark. AQAF does not prescribe a specific model, but it is important that generally that IQA is a component of the institutional Internal Quality Management System.

Quadrant 3: Internal Quality		Guidance	Samples of	
Assurance of Higher Education		notes/interpretation	Evidences	
Institutio	ons			
3.1	The institution has a	It is expected that	Vision and	
	primary responsibility for	institutions take full	mission	
	quality	responsibility to develop	statements	
		and implement systems	• Policies,	
		and processes to ensure	strategies, plans	
		the quality of learning and	and resources	
		other activities. Such	An internal	
		commitment ought to be	quality	
		expressed in their policy	management	
		and strategic statements.	system which	
			features IQA	
		The EQAA has been	A structure	
		established to ensure this	within the	

		institutional responsibility		organization to
		is undertaken effectively by		ensure
		institutions.		
		institutions.		implementation
				and monitoring
				for
				improvement
3.2	Quality assurance	Higher education is seen as	•	Organizational
	promotes the balance	a public good, especially in		and
	between institutional	the light of developing		management
	autonomy and public	nations such as those		structure
	accountability	found in ASEAN. Both EQA	•	Appropriate
		and IQA systems should		governance for
		strive to strike a balance		academic and
		between institutional		non- academic
		autonomy and		responsibilities.
		accountability. Institutions	•	Regulations and
		should ensure a fair		codes of ethic
		balance between their		
		needs and the needs of the		
		society and demonstrate		
		that their governance		
		systems, policies and		
		strategies to manage		
		academic autonomy and		
		academic quality, research		
		and services are in place.		
3.3	Quality assurance is a	The role of various parties	•	IQA events to
	participatory and	–top, middle management		orient and
	cooperative process across	and support staff,		educate internal

	all levels incorporating	academics and students		management,
	involvement of academic	should be in identified.		staff and
	staff, students, and other	Quality assurance is a		students.
	stakeholders	shared responsibility and	•	Documented
		inputs and feedback should		policies and
		come from various		responsibilities
		stakeholders to foster		of all parties
		quality in the institution.		which have
				been
		Commitment to regularly		disseminated to
		structured engagement		various sectors.
		with all internal clients and	•	Outcomes of
		stakeholders is likely to		dialogues and
		impact on institutional		inputs from
		activities.		various parties.
			•	Events
		Stakeholders external to		organized for
		the institution include		specific
		alumni, government		stakeholders.
		agencies, employers, and		
		industry and professional		
		bodies.		
3.4	A quality culture underpins	How does institutional	•	Indicators of
	all institutional activities	quality culture evolve in an		quality within
	including teaching, learning	institution?		the institution.
	research, services and			
	management			
	1	1		

3.5 A structured and functional internal quality assurance system with clearly defined responsibilities is established

It is expected that institutions will create an internal quality assurance system, a function assigned to specific unit or body to ensure the IQA system works reasonably within the wider institutional management system.

There is evidence of sufficient resources to support IQA activities, both human and financial.

It is expected that the IQA unit is linked closely to departments, faculties and other service centres to oversee their system's effectiveness. These other centres are also expected to be involved in IQA at their level.

IQA generally includes the responsibilities of the unit/faculties, systems for teaching, learning and assessments and learning outcomes. The scope of the IQA and the

- Documents of establishment
   of IQA Unit
- Documents of quality
   management
   /assurance
   manual and
   practices
- Resources for IQA
- Record of activities undertaken by

3.6	The quality system is	responsible parties should be determined by the institution.  Guidelines on how to set up an IQ system are available for reference  The quality of the	•	Meetings/
3.0	promulgated and	institution is envisioned	_	documentation
	supported by the top	through the strategic intent		of activities,
	management to ensure	of institutions. Thus top		records
	effective implementation	management commitment	•	Successful and
	and sustainability	is crucial to the effective		effective
		implementation and		implementation
		sustainability of IQA		of IQA records
		systems.	•	Improvement
				undertaken
		Top management engages		which enhances
		all levels of staff to		the institution
		promote understanding		
		and acceptance, provide		
		adequate resources, and		
		have regular assessment		
		and feedback mechanisms.		
3.7	Sufficient resources for	Identify resources required	•	Establishment
	establishing and	to establish and maintain		/launching of an
	maintaining an effective	the IQA system. Depending		IQA Structure or
	quality system within the	on the model chosen, the		Unit
	institution should be	unit must be well-		

	provided	organized and adequately staffed.	•	Organization arrangement and position, competent manpower, training, funding and IT and other infrastructures.
3.8	The institution should have formal mechanisms for approval, periodical reviews and monitoring of programmes and awards	This principle strictly addresses the need for formal systems to ensure quality. This requirement is considered at the planning, developing and monitoring phases as well at the delivery stage. It will help ensure that their programmes are well designed, relevant, current and up to date.	•	Approved documented policy system for programme development, approval and review. Records of development and reviews for the improvement of programmes Feedback from stakeholders.
3.9	Quality is regularly monitored and reviewed for purposes of continuous improvement at all level.	The institution has existing policies on monitoring the IQA system and processes for continuous quality improvement. Usually,	•	Policies on monitoring and review of the IQA system and procedures.

		there is a unit or	•	Monitoring
		department in charge of		reports, findings
		QA.		and actions
				taken.
3.10	Relevant and current	The institution has a	•	Website,
	information about the	website which contains		Circulars,
	institution, its	information about its		Newsletters,
	programmes,	programs, activities and		Promotional
	achievements, and quality	achievements, including QA		materials
	processes is accessible to	mechanisms.		
	public.			

#### **Quadrant 4: National Qualifications Framework**

NQF generally provides the national classification and standards for qualifications in the higher education sector or as a comprehensive framework. It reflects the progressive complexity of learning, promotes learning outcomes, credits, and establishes a learner centric approach in teaching and learning and beyond that supports lifelong learning. It is noted that NQFs have been developed in many AMS to enhance their higher education sector and graduates. It has become increasingly important, especially with the development of the ASEAN Qualifications Reference Framework (AQRF) which supports an ASEAN Agenda facilitating the mobility of persons, integration and harmonization.

Generally, underpinning the NQF is an effective EQA system managed by a competent recognized body that quality assures the qualifications for purposes of building and sustaining confidence and recognition. However not all EQAA are fully responsible for NQFs. Therefore it is advisable for the EQAA to advise their role in relation to NQFs, if any

Quad	rant 4: NQF	Guidance notes/interpretation	Samples of	
			Evidences	
4.1	NQF facilitates the	4-1 to 4.7 reflects the key common	NQF policy	
	progressive nature of	objectives and purposes generally	statements	
	learning and training	found in National Qualifications		
	with the inclusion of	Frameworks	APEL/RPL	
	recognition of prior		development	
	learning.	These items are also provided in	and initiatives	
		AQRF.		
4.2	NQF supports		• Lifelong	
	student and		learning	
	workforce mobility		policies and	
	through recognition		initiatives	
	of qualifications,			
	including lifelong			

4.4	NQF n is based on learning outcomes that emphasize student-centered learning and student competencies.  NQF supports consistency, transparency and flexibility of learning pathways and		•	Credit transfers system  Statement of learning outcomes and Levels outcomes descriptors Teaching and Learning approaches  Policies and mechanisms for pathways.
4.5	progression.  NQF is generally defined by levels, descriptors, and can be based on a credit system.	The common features of NQF which are important revolve on a student-centred approach and continuous progression in education. The learning outcomes and credits are key indicators in the comparability of qualifications, student mobility and recognition for various purposes.	•	Level descriptors Nomenclatures Credit system

4.6	NQF must be	To operationalise or bring life and	•	National
	supported by	meaning to NQF, there must be		policies and
	relevant national	policies and systems to enable its		strategies
	policies	effective implementation		
4.7	Stakeholders must be	Self-explanatory	•	Records of
	consulted and			consultations
	actively involved in			and
	the development and			participation of
	implementation of			stakeholders
	the NQF.			discussion at
				the
				development,
				implementatio
				n and review
				of NQF. May
				be at
				institutional
				levels as well
4.8	The implementation	There must be a body entrusted to	•	Laws or
	of the NQF is to be	be responsible for the NQF.		equivalent
	carried out by an	However it is not necessary to be		evidence of
	authorized body and	the responsibility of the EQAA.		establishment
	supported by a set of	EQAA may be given the task to		of authorized
	agreed quality	ensure its implementation within		body
	assurance principles	institutions.		responsible for
	and standards.	The QA system ensures that the		NQF.
		NQF requirements are complied	•	Written
		with. Here lies the connection to		evidence of
		Principles 1, 2 and 3.		implementatio

				n policies and mechanisms.
4.9	NQF is dynamic and should be reviewed to meet the changing needs and developments.	Policy and actions on periodic reviews.	•	Records of review and consultations and improvement
4.10	NQF should be complemented by an authorized information centre.	The outcomes of EQA of programme and institutions should be available to the public through reports and decisions of accreditation or audit. Often there is a need to create a register or upload to portals of EQAA or the Ministry. There should be updated and accurate information to advise and protect the public.  Institutions may introduce Diploma Supplement or equivalent.	•	Evidence of proper accurate information provided /accessible to the public-website/portal